

# Modeling Future Impacts on Land Cover of Rapid Expansion of Hazelnut Orchards: A Case Study on Samsun, Turkey

Ersin Aytaç 1\* 回

<sup>1</sup>Department of Environmental Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Zonguldak Bulent Ecevit University, Zonguldak, TURKEY \*Corresponding Author: ersin.aytac@beun.edu.tr

**Citation:** Aytaç, E. (2022). Modeling Future Impacts on Land Cover of Rapid Expansion of Hazelnut Orchards: A Case Study on Samsun, Turkey. *European Journal of Sustainable Development Research, 6*(4), em0193. https://doi.org/10.21601/ejosdr/12167

ARTICLE INFO	ABSTRACT
Received: 7 May 2022	Land-use/land-cover (LULC) simulation models predict the long-term effects of LULC changes under various
Accepted: 30 May 2022	scenarios. Patch-level land use simulation (PLUS) is a recently developed software that uses a rule-mining framework for LULC modelling. With a market share of 76% in the world, hazelnut is a strategic crop for Turkey. The hazelnut orchards have grown in Turkey since the first law was issued on 21 October 1935. This study was carried out to model the hazelnut orchards for 2030, 2042, 2054, and 2066 based on Samsun province and show the future impacts on land use types. Samsun was chosen as a case study due to the rapid expansion of hazelnut groves since 2006. According to PLUS results, by the year 2030, the increase in the hazelnut groves in Samsun is predicted as 9.38%, and hazelnut fields will be formed by the main transformation of open spaces with little or no vegetation, shrub and/or herbaceous vegetation associations, and forest; and this transformation will have severe effects on the ecosystem. The results clearly showed that hazelnut cultivation areas would continue to increase in the future and revealed that policymakers would need to conduct new regulations for environmental sustainability and to maintain Turkey's power in this crop.
	Keywords: land use change hazelnut modelling DLUS simulation

#### Keywords: land use change, hazelnut, modelling, PLUS, simulation

# **INTRODUCTION**

Hazelnut (corylus avellana L.) is one of the most crucial export crops of Turkey (Avtac, 2021a). Holding down 70% of production and 76% of trade, Turkey is the world's leading manufacturer and exporter of hazelnut (Castro and Swart, 2017). Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO, 2020) statistics indicate that Turkey has an annual production of 420,000 tons, and in 2014 Turkey reached an income of US\$ 2.3 billion from hazelnut exportation (Durmaz and Gokmen, 2019). The Black Sea region of Turkey is the main production center of hazelnut, but hazelnut production is growing in Italy, the USA, Georgia, and Azerbaijan (Tas et al., 2019). This crop has a significant influence on the economic and social structure of this region, and thousands of people are directly or indirectly related to this economic activity (Castro and Swart, 2017). Due to these reasons, the hazelnut has massive importance in the economy of Turkey, and some regulations need to be conducted on hazelnut production.

The main objective of policies for hazelnut production in Turkey is, export and manufacturer revenue maximization, inventory, transport, and financing costs minimization, and maintaining the strength in world markets (Kayalak and Ozcelik, 2012). For these purposes, Turkey tried to regulate the hazelnut market with purchase and price warranty, limitation of plantation areas, alternative crop cultivation projects, and direct income support from 1935 to 2014 (Bozoglu and Ceylan, 2007). Nevertheless, despite all the policies to control the supply, hazelnut production fields continued to increase (Bozoglu et al., 2019). Hazelnut fields and hazelnut production between 2004- 2019 in Turkey can be seen in **Figure 1** (TUIK, 2021). This situation weakens the power of Turkey in this strategic crop.

The rising concerns about environmental problems, food security, and loss of biodiversity with excessive cultivation and urbanization have directed researchers to understand the intensity and pattern of land-use/land-cover (LULC) change and to predict future development (Kundu et al., 2017; Liu et al., 2020). Land-use changes occur due to natural and anthropogenic reasons (Kuntoro et al., 2018; Ullah et al., 2019). There is an increasing interest in using computational algorithms for the detection and monitoring of LULC changes in the scientific community, and these algorithms consider various environmental, institutional, economic, and social factors and processes (Ansari and Golabi, 2019; Silva et al., 2020). Accordingly, there is a great necessity to precisely model the LULC changes and understand the spatial-temporal

Copyright © 2022 by Author/s and Licensed by Veritas Publications Ltd., UK. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



Figure 1. Hazelnut fields and hazelnut production of Turkey

LULC change patterns for land management and urban planning (Xing et al., 2020).

As a significant part of the regional and global change driven by human activities, LULC change has become critical in geography, ecology, and land science (Wang et al., 2019). In the last decade system dynamics (SD) model, cellular automata (CA) model, gray model, linear planning model, neural network model, multi-objective planning model, Markov model, etc. have been developed to simulate and forecast LULC changes (Liu et al., 2020; Sheng et al., 2018).

In 2019, a powerful tool named patch-level land use smulation (PLUS) model (https://github.com/HPSCIL/Patchlevel\_Land\_Use\_Simulation\_Model) had been introduced for land use/cover simulations. The PLUS model has been developed with a rule-mining framework based on land expansion analysis srategy (LEAS), and a CA model based on multi-type random seeds (CARS). With these integrated features, PLUS helps researchers to understand the driving factors of land expansion and project landscape dynamics. Also, this model has attained a more similar landscape pattern and higher simulation accuracy than the other LULC prediction models. The PLUS algorithm combines knowledge discovery, policy-making, and simulation to provide meaningful information for policy-makers and researchers (Liang et al., 2020).

This study was conducted to model the future hazelnut plantation areas for 2030, 2042, 2054, and 2066 using PLUS. Samsun province, located in the Central Black Sea Region of Turkey, was chosen as a case study due to the rapid expansion of hazelnut groves since 2006.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### **Study Area**

Samsun is located in Central Black Sea Region of Turkey and has an area about 9,083 km<sup>2</sup>. The geographical position of the city is between 40°50′ and 41°51′ North latitudes and 37°08′ and 34°25′ east longitudes and is between the deltas



Figure 2. Study area

where Yesilirmak and Kizilirmak rivers run out (Gorur et al., 2011). The study area can be seen in **Figure 2**.

Samsun's fruit production reached 201,862 tons in 2019. Hazelnut has the highest production rate in tons, with 68.28%. The total amount of hazelnut groves is 1,164.38 km<sup>2</sup>, and this area constitutes 97.40% of the total permanent crops (TUIK, 2021).

#### **Required Data**

The PLUS model was used to predict the spatial distribution of future LULC changes. This model consists of three main parts:

- 1. Extract land expansion,
- 2. LEAS,
- 3. CARS.

Each section needs different maps, including LULC, land use constraints, socioeconomic, climatic, and topographic.



Figure 3. The basic process sequence of PLUS

Table 1. Land us	e classes	used	in	the	study	converted	from
CORINE classifica	tion						

This study	<b>CORINE classification</b>				
	Continuous urban fabric				
	Discontinuous urban fabric				
	Industrial or commercial units				
	Road & rail networks &				
	associated land				
Artificial curfo and (AS)	Port areas				
Artificial surfaces (AS)	Airports				
	Mineral extraction sites				
	Dumpsites				
	Construction sites				
	Green urban areas				
	Sport and leisure facilities				
	Non-irrigated arable land				
Arable land (AR)	Permanently irrigated land				
	Rice fields				
Fruit groves (97.40% hazelnut groves) (HG)	Fruit trees & berry plantations				
Pastures (PS)	Pastures				
	Complex cultivation patterns				
Heterogeneous agricultural areas	Land principally occupied by				
(HAS)	agriculture with significant area				
	of natural vegetation				
	Broad-leaved forest				
Forest (FST)	Coniferous forest				
	Mixed forest				
Shrub and/or herbaceous	Natural grasslands				
vegetation associations (SHVA)	Transitional woodland-shrub				
Onen anagag with little or no	Beaches dunes sands				
vogetation (OLNV)	Bare rocks				
vegetation (OEIVV)	Sparsely vegetated areas				
Watlands (MI)	Inland marshes				
wetiands (wL)	Salt marshes				
	Watercourses				
Water bodies (MP)	Water bodies				
water Doules (WD)	Coastal lagoons				
	Soustai iagoons				

The basic process sequence to run the model is illustrated in **Figure 3**.

#### Table 2. Details of the fetched data used in the study

Category	Data	Year	Data source			
Socioeconomic	Population	2018	(LandScan, 2020)			
	LULC		(CORINE, 2020)			
	DEM		(USGS, 2020)			
Torrain	Aspect	2006-	Calculated from			
Terrain	Aspect	2019	DEM			
	Slope		Calculated from			
	- · F ·		DEM			
	Proximity to water					
	bodies		(OSM, 2020)			
Climatic	Annual precipitation	2019				
	Annual mean					
	temperature					
Soil	Soil type	2019	(FAO, 2020)			
	Proximity to primary					
	roads					
	Proximity to secondary					
	roads					
Human influence	Proximity to tertiary	2010				
	roads	2019	(05141, 2020)			
	Proximity to trunk					
	Proximity to railways					
	Proximity to city center					
	Proximity to districts					

Data preparation consisted of LULC maps, driving factors, and conversation constraints. In the study, Coordination of Information on the Environment (CORINE) LULC maps of 2006 and 2018 were used (CORINE, 2020). Considering the operability of the study, level two land-use types were selected. However, some land-use types were unified at level one because the ones lower than the allocated number of samples, cause problems. So, ten major classes were created by merging CORINE (2020) classes can be seen in **Table 1**.

The driving factors used in the study were mainly socioeconomic, climatic, and environmental data collected from different publicly available vector and raster data (**Table 2** indicates details of the fetched data).



**Figure 4.** Driving factors: (a) population density, (b) digital elevation model (DEM), (c) aspect, (d) slope, (e) proximity to water bodies, (f) annual precipitation, (g) annual temperature, (h) soil types, (i) proximity to primary roads, (j) proximity to secondary roads, (k) proximity to tertiary roads, (l) proximity to trunk, (m) proximity to railways, (n) proximity to city center, (o) proximity to districts and conversation constraint, and (p) open water bodies

An open water body map was defined as the conversation constraint to the model. The required driving factors and conversation constraint .tiff images fetched from different publicly available sources for the PLUS model can be seen in **Figure 4**.

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS**

#### **Extracting Land Expansion**

The model primarily extracts the land expansion map to execute the development potentials of different land-use types in the LEAS step. A land expansion map is also essential to understand the neighborhood weights and transition matrix, two of the inputs to be used in CARS. LULC maps of 2006 and 2018 were used in extracting land expansion. **Figure 5** shows generated land expansion map and LULC maps of 2006 & 2018.

#### **Training the Model**

The land expansion analysis strategy menu is the step for training the model. The model reveals the development potential of each land-use type using random forest regression (RFR) in this stage, and the development potential maps created here will be used in the CARS step. Random forest regression, which was developed by Leo Breiman, is a treebased machine learning regression method (Babar et al., 2020). Machine learning seeks to anticipate outcomes by extracting patterns from huge datasets, typically in the form of a code (Aytaç, 2020, 2021b). RFR has high robustness performance against outliers and has the ability to well-approximating variables with non-linear relationships (Li et al., 2018). This method is based on the bootstrap aggregation (bagging) strategy (Aytaç, 2022; Zhao et al., 2019). In the bagging approach, random samples (weak learners) from the training data are generated. Then the method is trained on the average of the weak learners to output the learning results using Eq. 1.

$$\hat{f}_{avg}(x) = \frac{1}{B} \sum_{b=1}^{B} \hat{f}^{b}(x)$$
(1)

where *B* is the number of weak learners and  $\hat{f}^b(x)$  is the result of the weak learner (James et al., 2017). There are two critical parameters while tuning and optimizing the performance of the RFR, and these parameters are nTree and mTry. nTree represents the number of regression trees to grow, and mTry is the number of variables at each split determined by using a randomized subset of the variables (Zhao et al., 2019). The nTree value was chosen as 20, and the mTry value was set as 15, equal to the number of driving factors. The sampling rate was selected as 0.10. After the training process, ten development potential maps were created, and the calibration and validation process step followed the creation of development potentials.



Figure 5. LULC maps of (a) 2006, (b) 2018, & (c) land expansion between 2006 and 2018

#### Table 3. Neighborhood weights

	AS	AL	HG	PS	HAS	FST	SHVA	OLNV	WL	WB
Start pixel number (2006)	14,204	155,102	31,358	6,699	202,129	256,443	48,854	15,612	7,611	17,481
Future pixel number (2018)	15,358	154,435	49,030	6,831	194,788	249,605	45,915	13,735	7,824	17,972

#### Table 4. Land-use amounts of 2006 and 2018

	AS	AL	HG	PS	HAS	FST	SHVA	OLNV	WL	WB
Value	0.03814	0.11517	0.28540	0.01831	0.29826	0.13483	0.07931	0.00731	0.00670	0.01653

#### **Calibration and Validation**

The CARS step is to simulate the future LULC. However, calibration and validation of the modelling process were required before simulation. So, the first time use of this step was for the calibration of the model. CARS process uses a cellular automata model, which also incorporates a patch-generation mechanism based on multi-type random seeds of land uses (Liang et al., 2020). The cellular automaton is a method where each cell interacts with neighboring cells based on a set of predefined rules simulating self-replicating complex systems that discretize both space and time that was proposed by von Neumann and Ulam in the late 1940s (Fuyong et al., 2020; Liao et al., 2019; Uzuna et al., 2018).

CA was used widely to simulate systems such as the behavior of land, rivers, and topographies, crystal growth, the behavior of gases, the spread of fires, bacterial or viral behavior, population development, and forecast of plant growth (Silva et al., 2019). A cellular automaton (A) that can be thought of as a tuple (d, S, N, f), where d is the dimension of space, S is a finite set of states, N is a finite subset of  $Z^d$  is the neighborhood and f:S<sup>N</sup> $\rightarrow$ S is transition function, or the local rule (Ortigoza et al., 2020). The inputs of CARS were the 2006

LULC map, development potential maps produced in the LEAS step, and the conversion constraints map. The neighborhood size was selected as three, similar to that of traditional models (Liang et al., 2018). The patch generation value was set to 0.5, and the expansion coefficient was selected as 0.1 as the parameters. The land demand values were determined from 2006 and 2018 LULC maps, and the neighborhood weights were determined with the land expansion map and can be seen in **Table 3** and **Table 4**, respectively.

The simulation of 2018 LULC demands was conducted after the required data has been implemented into the model for calibration and validation.

The simulation results were validated using the figure of merit (FoM). FoM is an excellent indicator of cell-level agreement and pattern similarity (Liang et al., 2018). FoM value can be calculated with Eq. 2.

$$FoM = \frac{B}{A+B+C+D} \tag{2}$$

where A is the area of error due to observed change predicted as persistence, B is the area of accuracy due to observed change predicted as change, C is the area of error due to observed change predicted as changing to an incorrect category, and D is the area of error due to observed persistence



**Figure 6.** Projected hazelnut plantation areas for the years (a) 2030, (b) 2042, (c) 2054, & (d) 2066

predicted as change (Liu et al., 2017). The calculated FoM value was 15%. This value showed that the predicted outcome was in an acceptable range, similar to the results in other papers (Chen et al., 2014; Li et al., 2017; Pontius et al., 2008).

#### **Simulating Future Hazelnut Groves**

The simulation process initially started with determining future land demands; the PLUS model uses the Markov chain for this procedure. Markov chain models are class-dependent transition matrices, and they have been widely used to determine the probabilities of LULC change between two time periods (Nery et al., 2019). The basis of the Markov chain model is to predict the state of the future time based on the state of the current time and the transition probability between states (Jia et al., 2020). Using the Markov model results, LULC simulations were carried out. The projected hazelnut grove areas for 2030, 2042, 2054, and 2066 can be seen in **Figure 6**.

**Figure 6** shows that the hazelnut cultivation areas will continue to increase in the coming years. The cultivation areas will develop primarily in the coastal fields of the city and towards the Western Black Sea region. The increase in hazelnut areas in 2030, 2042, 2054, and 2066 is predicted as 32.46%, 61.79%, 88.37%, and 112.54%, respectively, compared to 2018. Despite all the regulations, farmers did not comply with the decisions and continued to keep their production in hazelnuts, and the process of transforming different land-use types into hazelnut fields will continue increasingly. The changes in the land use types for 2030, 2042, 2054, and 2066 by percentage are shown in **Figure 7**.

When **Figure 7** is examined, four land-use types will expand their lands that are artificial surfaces, hazelnut groves, wetlands, and water bodies. After hazelnut groves, the most increasing land use type was predicted as artificial surfaces, which will be increased by 6.76%, 12.87%, 18.40%, and 23.44% for 2030, 2042, 2054, and 2066, respectively. The remaining six land use types will lose fields. Among these, the most decreasing land-use types stand out as open spaces with little or no vegetation, shrub and/or herbaceous vegetation associations, and forests. These results show that policy-



Figure 7. Predicted land-use changes (%) from 2030 to 2066

makers will not be able to prevent the increase of hazelnut lands with regulations, and forests and other vegetation areas will be negatively affected by the transformation of these landuse types into hazelnut groves. By 2066, the decrease in open spaces with little or no vegetation, shrub and/or herbaceous vegetation associations, and forest in Samsun is predicted to decrease 36.82%, 19.11%, and 10.52%, respectively.

Land cover change is the process through which anthropogenic activities alter the natural landscape, referring to how the land has been utilized, emphasizing the functional use of land for economic output (Kanti and Harun, 2017). The increase in population and growing GDP causes the clearing of broad areas for agriculture, the land expansion for urban development, and tree cutting for wood fuel generation (Mzuza et al., 2019). Land cover changes occur at various levels and have particular and cumulative consequences on water bodies, air, habitats, climate, and human health. According to Environmental Protection Agency (EPA, 2022) land, development and agricultural usage are two major areas of concern. Land development involves the creation of impermeable surfaces such as highways, parking lots, and other structures. Impermeable surfaces affect ground water aquifer recharge, contribute to nonpoint source water contamination by reducing soil's ability to filter runoff, increase the erosion potential and stormwater runoff. Agricultural uses have a huge impact on the quality of water and watersheds, result in habitat loss or increased wind erosion and dust, and may hasten or worsen the spread of invasive species (EPA, 2022). The results clearly indicate that the future expansion of hazelnut orchards will have a huge impact on natural land cover and the associated ecosystem.

### CONCLUSIONS

Turkey is the leading hazelnut producer globally, and hazelnut has strategic importance in the Turkish economy. The laws and regulations implemented by governments did not achieve their purposes, and hazelnut areas increased every year. Therefore, understanding the development of future land use areas can promote a more efficient and targeted land use policy. This study used the PLUS model to simulate the future hazelnut groves in Samsun province as a case study. PLUS is a recently developed model used to project the dynamics of land use patches and landscape patterns and to identify the drivers of land growth. The LULC changes were simulated for 2030, 2042, 2054, and 2066.

The results of the PLUS model indicate that by the year 2066, the hazelnut groves will approximately double their area. The hazelnut groves will expand notably in the city's coastal lands and toward the Western Black Sea region. In 2030, 2042, 2054, and 2066, hazelnut areas are expected to rise by 32.46%, 61.79%, 88.37%, and 112.54%, respectively, compared to 2018. Despite all of the laws, farmers did not follow the choices and continued to produce hazelnuts, and the process of converting diverse land-use categories into hazelnut fields will continue. It is also clear that the new hazelnut fields will be formed by transforming LULC types, mainly as open spaces with little or no vegetation, shrub and/or herbaceous vegetation associations, and forest, and this transformation will have severe effects on the ecosystem. Besides artificial surfaces will expand as well in the coming years. The results of this study are significant and noteworthy as they show the urgent need to balance new regulations with long-term strategies in designing effective hazelnut policies.

Funding: No external funding is received for this article.

**Declaration of interest:** The author declares that there are no competing interests.

Ethics approval and consent to participate: Not applicable.

**Availability of data and materials:** All data generated or analyzed during this study are available for sharing when appropriate request is directed to corresponding author.

## REFERENCES

- Ansari, A. and Golabi, M. H. (2019). Prediction of spatial land use changes based on LCM in a GIS environment for desert wetlands–A case study: Meighan Wetland, Iran. *International Soil and Water Conservation Research*, 7(1), 64-70. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iswcr.2018.10.001
- Aytaç, E. (2020). Unsupervised learning approach in defining the similarity of catchments: Hydrological response unit based k-means clustering, a demonstration on Western Black Sea region of Turkey. *International Soil and Water Conservation Research*, 8(3), 321-331. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.iswcr.2020.05.002
- Aytaç, E. (2021a). Forecasting Turkey's hazelnut export quantities with Facebook's prophet algorithm and box-cox transformation. ADCAIJ: Advances in Distributed Computing and Artificial Intelligence Journal, 10(1), 33-47. https://doi.org/10.14201/ADCAIJ20211013347
- Aytaç, E. (2021b). Havzaların benzerliklerini tanımlamada alternatif bir yaklaşım: Hiyerarşik kümeleme yöntemi uygulaması [An alternative approach in defining the similarity of cathments: Application of hierarchical clustering method]. Afyon Kocatepe University Journal of Science and Engineering, 21, 958-970. https://doi.org/10.35414/akufemubid.870649

- Aytaç, E. (2022). Exploring electrocoagulation through data analysis and text mining perspectives. *Environmental Engineering and Management Journal*, 21(4), 671-685.
- Babar, B., Luppino, L. T., Boström, T. and Anfinsen, S. N. (2020). Random forest regression for improved mapping of solar irradiance at high latitudes. *Solar Energy*, 198, 81-92. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.solener.2020.01.034
- Bozoglu, M. and Ceyhan, V. (2007). Measuring the technical efficiency and exploring the inefficiency determinants of vegetable farms in Samsun province, Turkey. *Agricultural Systems*, 94(3), 649-656. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agsy. 2007.01.007
- Bozoglu, M., Baser, U., Topuz, B. K. and Eroglu, N. A. (2019). An overview of hazelnut markets and policy in Turkey. *KSU Tarım ve Doğa Dergisi* [*KSU Journal of Agriculture and Nature*], 22(5), 733-743. https://doi.org/10.18016/ ksutarimdoga.v22i45606.532645
- Castro, N. R. and Swart, J. (2017). Building a roundtable for a sustainable hazelnut supply chain. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 168, 1398-1412. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.jclepro.2017.08.239
- Chen, Y. M., Li, X., Liu, X. P. and Ai, B. (2014). Modeling urban land-use dynamics in a fast developing city using the modified logistic cellular automaton with a patch-based simulation strategy. *International Journal of Geographical Information Science*, 28(2), 234-255. https://doi.org/10. 1080/13658816.2013.831868
- CORINE. (2020). Land use/land cover map. *Coordination of Information on the Environment*. Available at: https://land.copernicus.eu/pan-european/corine-landcver/clc2018?tab=download (Accessed: 17 April 2020).
- Durmaz, G. and Gokmen, V. (2019) Effect of refining on bioactive composition and oxidative stability of hazelnut oil. *Food Research International*, 116, 586-591. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2018.08.077
- EPA. (2022). Land use. *Environmental Protection Agency*. Available at: https://www.epa.gov/report-environment/ land-use#:~:text=have%20different%20uses).-,Effects% 20of%20Land%20Use%20Changes,%2C%20climate%2C%2 0and%20human%20health (Accessed: 21 April 2022).
- FAO. (2020). Soil map. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. Available at: http://www.fao.org/soilsportal/soil-survey/soil-maps-and-databases/harmonizedworld-soil-database-v12/en/ (Accessed: 13 July 2020).
- Fuyong, S. U., Wenli, L. I. U. and Zhi, W. E. N. (2020). Threedimensional cellular automaton simulation of austenite grain growth of Fe-1C-1.5Cr alloy steel. *Journal of Materials Research and Technology*, 9(1), 180-187. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.jmrt.2019.10.043
- Gorur, F. K., Keser, R., Dizman, S., & Okumusoglu, N. T. (2011). Annual effective dose and concentration levels of gross alpha and beta in various waters from Samsun, Turkey. *Desalination*, 1(3), 135-139. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal .2011.05.071
- James, G., Witten, D., Hastie, T., & Tibshirani, R. (2017). *An introduction to statistical learning with applications in R*. New York: Springer.

- Jia, Z.-Q., Zhou, Z.-F., Zhang, H.-J., Li, B. and Zhang, Y.-X. (2020). Forecast of coal consumption in Gansu province based on Grey-Markov chain model. *Energy*, 199, 117444. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2020.117444
- Kanti, P. B. and Harun, R. (2017). Land use change and coastal management, in P. B. Kanti and R. Harun (eds), *Climatic hazards in coastal Bangladesh* (pp. 183-207). Boston, MA, USA: Butterworth-Heinemann. https://doi.org/10.1016/ B978-0-12-805276-1.00006-5
- Kayalak, S. and Ozcelik, A. (2012). Hazelnut policies in the world and Turkey (article in Turkish with an abstract in English). *Tarım Ekonomisi Dergisi [Journal of Agricultural Economics*], 18(2), 43-53.
- Kundu, S., Khare, D. and Mondal, A. (2017). Landuse change impact on sub-watersheds prioritization by analytical hierarchy process (AHP). *Ecological Informatics*, 42, 100-113. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoinf.2017.10.007
- Kuntoro, A. A., Cahyono, M. and Soentoro, E. A. (2018). Land cover and climate change impact on river discharge: Case study of upper Citarum River Basin. *Journal of Engineering* and Technological Sciences, 50(3), 364-381. https://doi.org/ 10.5614/j.eng.technol.sci.2018.50.3.4
- LandScan. (2020). *Population map*. Available at: https://landscan.ornl.gov/downloads/2018 (Accessed: 19 April 2020).
- Li, X., Chen, G. Z., Liu, X. P., Liang, X., Wang, S. J., Chen, Y. M., Pei, F. S. and Xu, X. C. (2017). A new global land-use and land-cover change product at a 1-km resolution for 2010 to 2100 based on human-environment interactions. *Annals of the American Association of Geographers*, 107(5), 1040-1059. https://doi.org/10.1080/24694452.2017.1303357
- Li, Y., Zou, C. F., Berecibar, M., Nanini-Maury, E., Chan, J. C. W., van den Bossche, P., Van Mierlo, J. and Omar, N. (2018). Random forest regression for online capacity estimation of lithium-ion batteries. *Applied Energy*, 232, 197-210. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2018.09.182
- Liang, X., Guan, Q., Clarke, K. C., Liu, S., Wang, B. and Yao, Y. (2020). Understanding the drivers of land expansion for sustainable land use using a patch-level land use simulation (PLUS) model: A case study in Wuhan, China. *Computers, Environment and Urban Systems*, 85, 101569. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compenvurbsys.2020.101569
- Liang, X., Liu, X. P., Li, D., Zhao, H. and Chen, G. Z. (2018). Urban growth simulation by incorporating planning policies into a CA-based future land-use simulation model. *International Journal of Geographical Information Science*, 32(11), 2294-2316. https://doi.org/10.1080/13658816.2018 .1502441
- Liao, J. F., Shao, G. F., Wang, C. P., Tang, L. N., Huang, Q. L. and Qiu, Q. Y. (2019). Urban sprawl scenario simulations based on cellular automata and ordered weighted averaging ecological constraints. *Ecological Indicators*, 107, 105572. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2019.105572
- Liu, D. Y., Zheng, X. Q. and Wang, H. B. (2020). Land-use simulation and decision-support system (LandSDS): Seamlessly integrating system dynamics, agent-based model, and cellular automata. *Ecological Modelling*, 417, 108924. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2019.108924

- Liu, X. P., Liang, X., Li, X., Xu, X. C., Ou, J. P., Chen, Y. M., Li, S. Y., Wang, S. J. and Pei, F. S. (2017). A future land use simulation model (FLUS) for simulating multiple land use scenarios by coupling human and natural effects. *Landscape and Urban Planning*, 168, 94-116. https://doi.org /10.1016/j.landurbplan.2017.09.019
- Mzuza, M. K., Zhang, W., Kapute, F. and Wei, X. (2019). The impact of land use and land cover changes on the Nkula dam in the Middle Shire River Catchment, Malawi, in A. Pepe and Q. Zhao (eds), *Geospatial analyses of earth observation (EO) data* (pp. 1-20). IntechOpen. https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.86452
- Nery, T., Sadler, R., White, B. and Polyakov, M. (2019). Predicting future plantation forest development in response to policy initiatives: A case study of the Warren River Catchment in Western Australia. *Environmental Science & Policy*, 92, 299-310. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.envsci.2018.11.021
- Ortigoza, G., Brauer, F. and Neri, I. (2020). Modelling and simulating Chikungunya spread with an unstructured triangular cellular automata. *Infectious Disease Modelling*, 5, 197-220. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.idm.2019.12.005
- OSM. (2020). *Spatial variables*. Available at: https://download. geofabrik.de/europe/turkey.html (Accessed: 16 January 2020).
- Pontius, R. G., Boersma, W., Castella, J. C., Clarke, K., de Nijs, T., et al. (2008). Comparing the input, output, and validation maps for several models of land change. *Annals of Regional Science*, 42(1), 11-37. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s00168-007-0138-2
- Sheng, X. W., Cao, Y. G., Zhou, W., Zhang, H. and Song, L. (2018). Multiple scenario simulations of land use changes and countermeasures for collaborative development mode in Chaobai River region of Jing-Jin-Ji, China. *Habitat International*, 82, 38-47. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. habitatint.2018.10.008
- Silva, A. R., Silva, A. R. and Gouvea, M. M., (2019). A novel model to simulate cloud dynamics with cellular automaton. *Environmental Modelling & Software*, 122, 104537. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsoft.2019.104537
- Silva, L. P. E., Xavier, A. P. C., da Silva, R. M. and Santos, C. A. G. (2020). Modeling land cover change based on an artificial neural network for a semiarid river basin in northeastern Brazil. *Global Ecology and Conservation*, 21, e00811. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gecco.2019.e00811
- Tas, N. G., Yilmaz, C., & Gokmen, V. (2019). Investigation of serotonin, free and protein-bound tryptophan in Turkish hazelnut varieties and effect of roasting on serotonin content. *Food Research International*, 120, 865-871. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2018.11.051
- TUIK. (2021). *Data portal of Turkish Republic*. Available at: https://biruni.tuik.gov.tr/medas/?kn=92&locale=tr (Accessed: 2 May 2021).

- Ullah, S., Ahmad, K., Sajjad, R. U., Abbasi, A. M., Nazeer, A. and Tahir, A. A. (2019). Analysis and simulation of land cover changes and their impacts on land surface temperature in a lower Himalayan region. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 245, 348-357. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jenvman.2019.05.063
- USGS. (2020). *Digital elevation model*. Available at: https://earthexplorer.usgs.gov (Accessed: 20 July 2020).
- Uzuna, A. O., Usta, T., Dundar, E. B. and Korkmaz, E. E. (2018). A solution to the classification problem with cellular automata. *Pattern Recognition Letters*, 116, 114-120. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.patrec.2018.10.007
- Wang, C. L., Jiang, Q. O., Shao, Y. Q., Sun, S. Y., Xiao, L. and Guo, J. B. (2019). Ecological environment assessment based on land use simulation: A case study in the Heihe River Basin. *Science of the Total Environment*, 697, 133928. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.133928
- Xing, W., Qian, Y., Guan, X., Yang, T. and Wu, H. (2020). A novel cellular automata model integrated with deep learning for dynamic spatio-temporal land use change simulation. *Computers & Geosciences*, 137, 104430. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cageo.2020.104430
- Zhao, W., Wu, H., Yin, G. F. and Duan, S. B. (2019). Normalization of the temporal effect on the MODIS land surface temperature product using random forest regression. *ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing*, 152, 109-118. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isprsjprs. 2019.04.008